1	In the Claims:
2	
3	CLAIMS.
4	
5	I claim:
6	
7	<ol> <li>(Currently Amended) A method for analyzing financial data, the</li> </ol>
8	method comprising the steps of:
9	obtaining choosing a range for data points related to a
10	security;
11	choosing a plurality of data points related to athe security
12	from within the range, each data point comprises associated data regarding the
1.3	security;-
14	designating one of the data points as a reference data point;
15	choosing one of the data points as a chosen data point, wherein
16	the chosen data point further comprises a plurality of <a href="https://example.com/theta-chosen">the chosen data point further comprises a plurality of <a href="https://example.com/theta-chosen">the chosen data point further comprises a plurality of <a href="https://example.com/theta-chosen">the chosen data point further comprises a plurality of <a href="https://example.com/theta-chosen">the chosen data point further comprises a plurality of <a href="https://example.com/theta-chosen">the chosen data chos</a></a></a></a></a>
17	points, not using an arithmetical pattern; and
18	examining the data of the chosen data point with the data of
19	the reference data point, thereby producing a data analysis.
20	·
21	2. (Cancelled)
22	
23	3. (Currently Previously Amended) The method as described in claim
24	([2]]), further comprising the step of ordering the chosen individual data points
25	according to an ordering function prior to the examining step, thereby producing
26	an ordered series and an ordered position corresponding to each chosen individual
27	data point.
28	·
29	4. (Original) The method as described in claim 3, further
30	comprising the step of reporting the data analysis.
31	
32	5. (Cancelled)
33	
34	6. (Cancelled)
35	to also a substant the
36	<ol> <li>(Original) The method as described in claim 3, wherein the</li> </ol>

1	examining step comprises utilizing a comparison expressed by the equation
2	((TOPoint-FROMPoint)/FROMPoint)*100 = +/- %,
4	
5	wherein "FROMPoint" is the reference point and "TOPoint" is each of the choser
6	individual data points, and each ordered position corresponding to TOPoint
7	follows in the ordered series the ordered position corresponding to FROMPoint.
8	
9	8. (Original) The method as described in claim 3, wherein the
LO	examining step comprises utilizing a comparison expressed by the equation
11	
L <b>2</b>	((TOPoint-FROMPoint)/FROMPoint)*100 = +/- %,
L3	
L <b>4</b>	wherein "TOPoint" is the reference point and "FROMPoint" is each of the choser
L <b>5</b>	individual data points, and each ordered position corresponding to TOPoint
.6	follows in the ordered series the ordered position corresponding to FROMPoint.
L <b>7</b>	
L8	<ol><li>(Original) The method as described in claim 3, wherein the</li></ol>
.9	reference point further comprises a plurality of reference individual data
20 .	points, there being a one-to-one correspondence between the reference individual
21	data points and the chosen individual data points.
22	
23	10 (Original) The method as described in claim 9, wherein the
24	examining step comprises utilizing a comparison expressed by the equation
25	
26	((TOPoint-FROMPoint)/FROMPoint)*100 = +/- %
27	
8 8	wherein each pair of "FROMPoint" and "TOPoint" are each corresponding reference
29	individual data point and chosen individual data point.
<b>3 0</b> .	
31	11. (Original) The method as described in claim 9, wherein the
32	examining step comprises utilizing a comparison expressed by the equation
3 3	
34	((FROMPoint-TOPoint)/TOPoint)*100 = +/- %
35	
36	wherein each pair of "TOPoint" and "FROMPoint" are each corresponding reference

1	individual data point and chosen individual data point.
2	
3	12. (Original) The method as described in claim 3, wherein the
4	ordering function comprises date order and each data point comprises the value
5	of the security at a specific date.
6	
7	13. (Original) The method as described in claim 3, wherein the
8	ordering function comprises date-and-time order and each data point comprises a
9	value of the security at a specific date and time.
10	
11	14. (Original) The method as described in claim 3, further
12	comprising the step of exporting the data analysis to a second method of
13	analyzing financial data.
14	
15	15. (Currently Amended) A system for analyzing financial data, the
16	system comprising:
17	a means for choosing a range for data points related to a
18	security;
19	a means for obtaining choosing a plurality of data points
20	related to athe security from within the range, each data point
21	comprisingcomprises associated data regarding the security;
22	a means for designating one of the data points as a reference
23	data point;
24	a means for choosing one of the data points as a chosen data
25	point, wherein the chosen data point further comprises a plurality of chosen data
26	points, not using an arithmetical pattern; and
27	a means for examining the data corresponding to the reference
28	data point with the data corresponding to the chosen data point, thereby
29	producing a data analysis.
30	
31	16. (Cancelled)
32	
33	17. (Currently Previously Amended) The system as described in claim

34

35

36

{{16}}15, wherein the examining means comprises a means for ordering the chosen

data points according to an ordering function, thereby producing an ordered

series and an ordered position corresponding to each chosen individual data

```
point.
 1
 2
                         (Cancelled)
                  18.
 3
 4
                   19.
                         (Cancelled)
 5
 6
                         (Original) The system as described in claim 17, wherein the
                   20.
 7
       examining means further comprises a means for performing a comparison expressed
 8
       by the equation
٠9
10
                        ((TOPoint-FROMPoint)/FROMPoint)*100 = +/- %,
11
12
       wherein "FROMPoint" is the reference point and "TOPoint" is each of the chosen
13
       individual data points, and each ordered position corresponding to TOPoint
14
       follows in the ordered series the ordered position corresponding to FROMPoint.
15
16
                         (Original) The system as described in claim 17, wherein the
                   21.
17
       examining means further comprises a means for performing a comparison expressed
18
       by the equation
19
20
                        ((TOPoint-FROMPoint)/FROMPoint)*100 = +/- %,
21
22
       wherein "TOPoint" is the reference point and "FROMPoint" is each of the chosen
23
       individual data points, and each ordered position corresponding to TOPoint
24
       follows in the ordered series the ordered position corresponding to FROMPoint.
25
26
                         (Original) The system as described in claim 17, wherein the
                   22.
27
       reference point further comprises a plurality of reference individual data
28
       points, there being a one-to-one correspondence between the reference individual
29
       data points and the chosen individual data points.
30
31
                         (Original) _The system as described in claim 22, wherein the
                   23.
32
       examining means further comprises a means for performing a comparison expressed
33
       by the equation
34
35
                         ((TOPoint-FROMPoint)/FROMPoint)*100 = +/- %
36
```

2

٠.

3	
4	24. (Original) The system as described in claim 22, wherein the
5	examining means further comprises a means for performing a comparison expressed
6	by the equation
. 7	
8	((FROMPoint-TOPoint)/TOPoint)*100 = +/- %
9	
10	wherein each pair of ""OPoint" and "FROMPoint" are each corresponding reference
11	individual data point and chosen individual data point.
12	
13	25. (Original) The system as described in claim 17, wherein the
14	ordering function comprises date order and each data point comprises a value of
15	the security on a specific date.
16	
17	26. (Original) The system as described in claim 17, wherein the
18	ordering function comprises date-and-time order and each data point comprises a
19	value of the security at a specific date and time.
20	
21	27. (Original) The system as described in claim 17, further
22	comprising a means for exporting the data analysis to a second means of analyzing
23	financial data.
24	· ·
25	28. (Currently Amended) A method for analyzing data of a category,
26	the system comprising the steps of:
27	obtaining choosing a range for data points related to the
28	category;
29	choosing a plurality of data points related to the category
30	from within the range, each data point comprises associated data regarding the
31	category;
32	designating one of the data points as a reference data point;
33	choosing one of the data points as a chosen data point, wherein
34	the chosen data point further comprises a plurality of chosen data points, not
35	using an arithmetical pattern; and
36	examining the data corresponding to the reference data point
	1070amd2.pat 090705 - 6 -

wherein each pair of "FROMPoint" and "TOPoint" are each corresponding reference

individual data point and chosen individual data point.

2	analysis.
3	
4	29. (Cancelled)
5	
6	30. (Currently Previously Amended) The method as described in claim
7	{{29}}28, further comprising the step of ordering the chosen data points prior
8	to the examining step.
9	••
10	31. (Original) The method as described in claim 30, further
11	comprising the step of reporting the data analysis.
12	•
13	32. (Currently Previously Amended) The method as described in claim
14	{{29}}28, wherein the category comprises finance.
15	
16	33. (Original) The method as described in claim 32, wherein the
17	associated data is chosen from the group consisting of sales data, inventory
18	data, cost data, margin data, income tax data, depreciation data, and
19	amortization data.
20	•
21	34. (Currently Amended) A system for analyzing data of a category,
22	the system comprising:
23	a means for choosing a range for data points related to the
24	<pre>category;</pre>
25	a means for obtaining choosing a plurality of data points
26	related to the category from within the range, each data point comprises
27	associated data regarding the category;
28	a means for designating one of the data points as a reference
29	data point;
30	a means for choosing one of the data points as a chosen data
31	point, wherein the chosen data point further comprises a plurality of chosen data
32	points, not using an arithmetical pattern; and
33	a means for examining the data corresponding to the reference
34	data point with the data corresponding to the chosen data point, thereby
35	producing a data analysis.
36	

1 with the data corresponding to the chosen data point, thereby producing a data

1	33. (building)
2	
3	36. (Currently Previously Amended) The system as described in claim
4	{{35}}34, wherein the examining means comprises a means for ordering the chosen
5	data points prior to examining the data.
6	
7	37. (Original) The system as described in claim 36, further
8	comprising a reporting means to report the data analysis.
9	·
10	38. (Currentiy Previously Amended) The system as described in claim
11	[[35]]34, wherein the category comprises finance.
12	
13	39. (Original) The system as described in claim 38, wherein the
14	associated data is chosen from the group consisting of sales data, inventory
15	data, cost data, margin data, income tax data, depreciation data, and
16	amortization data.
17	
18	
19	·

The comments of the Examiner as set forth in the Office Paper of April 7, 2005 have been carefully studied and reviewed.

Claims 1, 3-4, 7-15, 17, 20-28, 30-34, and 36-39 are pending in the application.

Claims 1, 3-4, 7-15, 17, 20-28, 30-34, and 36-39 have been rejected.

9 Claims 1, 15, 28, and 34 have been amended in this Amendment, without 10 prejudice.

## Claim Rejections: 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1, 3-4, 7-15, 17, 20-28, 30-34, and 36-39 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) as being unpatentable over Philips et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,792,399) and official notice.

Applicant respectfully repeats his traversal of these rejections as described in his previous Amendment dated January 13, 2005. To reject a claimed invention based upon its obviousness over the prior art, the examiner must support such a rejection by establishing the invention's prima facie obviousness. The examiner must show where in the art cited there is a description of the claimed invention sufficient to have taught or suggested the invention to ordinarily skilled artisans of the time (see, e.g., ACS Hospital Systems, Inc., v. Montefiore Hospital, 221 U.S.P.Q. 929, 933 (F. Cir. 1984); see also, In refine, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596 (F. Cir. 1988)).

Evaluation of whether the cited documents provide the necessary description requires consideration of "(1) whether the prior art would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art they should make the claimed [invention] ... and (2) whether the prior art would have also revealed that in so making ... those of ordinary skill would have a reasonable expectation of success" (In re Vaeck, 20 U.S.P.Q.2d 1438, 1442 (F. Cir. 1991)). "Both the suggestion and the reasonable expectation of success must be found in the prior art, not in the applicant's disclosure" (In re Vaeck, supra). That is, "one

cannot use hindsight reconstruction to pick and choose amongst isolated disclosures in the prior art to deprecate the claimed invention" (In re Fine, supra at 1600).

## Phillips et al. Rejection

Phillips et al. analyze data in different ways. The cluster analysis referred to is only used after data has been analyzed by various individuals using the service offered by these inventors; cluster analysis is used for what can be considered an "analysis of the analysis", to even out the analyzed data based on levels of participation by the various individual forecasters whose analyses are providing data to the system (see col. 43, lines 1-13).

Applicant has amended independent Claims 1, 15, 28, and 34 to distinguish further Applicant's invention from Phillips et al. In short, the disclosure of Phillips et al. is limited to cluster analysis. Applicant does not employ cluster analysis in any way. Applicant's amended Claims clearly show that absence of cluster analysis in Applicant's invention. Thus, Applicant's invention is not obvious in light of Phillips et al. with official notice.

Now that Applicant's independent Claims have been distinguished from the prior art, Applicant respectfully submits that all dependent Claims are also distinguished from the prior art.